

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/530,720	04/08/2005	Masahiro Kimata	403368/SAKAI	2094	
23548	7590 11/08/2006		EXAM	INER	1
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD 700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW		•	BEHM, HARRY RAYMOND		
SUITE 300	31.111 51.111	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	1
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20005-3960	•	2838		_

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/530,720	KIMATA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Harry Behm	2838					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. It imply filed From the mailing date of this communication. FINED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 S	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 September 2006.						
• — •	action is non-final.						
· —							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11 and 15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2 and 8-9</u> is/are rejected.	<u></u>						
7) 🛛 Claim(s) 3· 7 🎶 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	. <u>_</u>						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9, 11 and 15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The indicated allowability of claim 2 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Xu (US 5,552,977). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Xu (US 5,552,977).

With respect to Claim 1, Xu discloses an apparatus (Fig. 1 106) for controlling a power converter (Fig. 1 100) in which an output voltage is controlled by pulse-width-modulation control [SVPWM], the apparatus comprising: a voltage-vector control unit (Fig. 1 generates Vs*) that determines, based on a voltage instruction value for the power converter, voltage vectors [vector Vs*] output from the power converter in one control cycle of the pulse-width-modulation control and durations [t0,t1,t2] of outputting of the voltage vectors; a voltage-vector adjusting unit that adjusts (Fig. 8) the durations of outputting of the voltage vectors so that the duration [at step 122, the time of the zero

Art Unit: 2838

vector t0 is compared to the fixed duration of 0, if the duration is less than zero it is set to zero at step 126; if the duration is greater than or equal to zero, the duration will be greater than the fixed time or will be zero] of outputting of a zero-voltage vector [t0] is either longer than a fixed duration (Fig. 8 124) or is zero (Fig. 8 126); and a firing-pulse generating unit (Fig. 1 106) that generates a signal for turning on and off a semiconductor switching element (Fig. 1 SA+) included in the power converter based on the durations of outputting of the voltage vectors as adjusted by the voltage-vector adjusting unit.

With respect to Claim 2, Xu discloses the apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the voltage-vector adjusting unit adjusts the durations of outputting of the voltage vectors so that when the durations of outputting of the zero-voltage vector [t0] is longer (Fig. 8 124) than a predetermined duration [0], the duration of outputting the zero-voltage vector is ensured at least for the fixed duration [t0'=t0], and when the durations of outputting of the zero-voltage vector is shorter [t0<0] than the predetermined duration [0], the duration of outputting of the zero-voltage vector becomes zero (Fig. 8 126).

With respect to Claim 8, Xu discloses the apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the voltage-vector adjusting unit adjusts the durations (Fig. 8 126) of outputting of the voltage vectors so that the durations of outputting of the zero-voltage vector [t0] is ensured at least for the fixed durations [t0 is at least 0].

With respect to Claim 9, Xu discloses the apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the voltage-vector adjusting unit adjusts durations of outputting of the voltage

Art Unit: 2838

vectors so that the durations of outputting of the zero-voltage vector [t0] is ensured at least for the fixed time [0] without changing relative ratio of output durations of voltage vectors [t1 and t2] other than the zero-voltage vector [t0].

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-7, 11 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

With respect to Claim 3, the prior art does not disclose or suggest, in combination with the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, primarily, wherein if a total of the duration of outputting of the zero-voltage vector in more than one control cycle is shorter than a predetermined time, the voltage-vector adjusting unit adjust the duration of outputting of the zero voltage so that duration of outputting of the zero-voltage vector between two adjacent cycles becomes zero.

With respect to Claim 4, the prior art does not disclose or suggest, in combination with the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, primarily, wherein the voltage vector adjusting unit adjusts the durations of outputting of the voltage vectors so that durations of outputting identical voltage vectors in more than one control cycle are grouped into one.

With respect to Claim 5, the prior art does not disclose or suggest, in combination with the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, primarily, so that one

Art Unit: 2838

of durations of outputting of a zero-voltage vector at a current cycle becomes zero, and an amount of the one of the durations of outputting of the zero-voltage vector is distributed to another of the durations of outputting the zero-voltage vector.

With respect to Claim 6, the prior art does not disclose or suggest, in combination with the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, primarily, wherein the voltage vector adjusting unit adjusts the duration of outputting of the voltage vectors so that the second duration becomes a duration obtained by subtracting the first duration from the predetermined time.

With respect to Claim 7, the prior art does not disclose or suggest, in combination with the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, primarily, wherein the voltage-vector adjusting unit calculates an error accompanied by an adjustment of the duration of outputting of the voltage vectors, and adjusts the duration of outputting of the voltage vectors in a current cycle with the error calculated in a previous cycle.

With respect to Claim 11, the prior art does not disclose or suggest, in combination with the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, primarily, wherein the voltage-vectors adjusting unit adjusts the duration of outputting of the voltage vectors so that times of outputting voltage vectors other than the zero-voltage vector also become longer than the fixed time or zero.

With respect to Claim 15, the prior art does not disclose or suggest, in combination with the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, primarily,

Application/Control Number: 10/530,720 Page 6

Art Unit: 2838

wherein the voltage-vector adjusting unit replaces the voltage vector firstly output in the current cycle with the voltage vector lastly output in the previous cycle.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yamanaka (US 6,751,105) discloses recomputing the vectors if the times are not longer than a fixed value.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry Behm whose telephone number is 571-272-8929. The examiner can normally be reached on Business EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Karl Easthom can be reached on 571-2721989. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KARL EASTHOM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER